



## **Notice of a meeting of Council**

**Monday, 20 July 2015**

**2.30 pm**

**Council Chamber, Municipal Offices**

<b>Membership</b>	
<b>Councillors:</b>	Duncan Smith (Chair), Chris Ryder (Vice-Chair), Matt Babbage, Flo Clucas, Adam Lillywhite, Chris Mason, Dan Murch, Chris Nelson, John Payne, Max Wilkinson, Wendy Flynn, Andrew Chard, Paul Baker, Garth Barnes, Nigel Britter, Chris Coleman, Bernard Fisher, Jacky Fletcher, Colin Hay, Tim Harman, Rowena Hay, Sandra Holliday, Peter Jeffries, Steve Jordan, Andrew Lansley, Helena McCloskey, Andrew McKinlay, David Prince, John Rawson, Anne Regan, Rob Reid, Louis Savage, Diggory Seacome, Malcolm Stennett, Klara Sudbury, Pat Thornton, Jon Walklett, Simon Wheeler, Roger Whyborn and Suzanne Williams

## **Agenda**

<b>6.</b>	<b>PUBLIC QUESTIONS</b> These must be received no later than 12 noon on the fourth working day before the date of the meeting	(Pages 3 - 4)
<b>7.</b>	<b>MEMBER QUESTIONS</b>	(Pages 5 - 6)

**Contact Officer:** Rosalind Reeves, Democratic Services Manager, 01242 774937

**Email:** [democratic.services@cheltenham.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@cheltenham.gov.uk)

**Andrew North  
Chief Executive**

This page is intentionally left blank

20 July 2015

#### Public Questions (2)

<b>1.</b>	<b>Question from Peter Sayers to the Leader of the Council, Councillor Steve Jordan</b>
	<p>Why, in the rules pertaining to the calling of an Extraordinary Meeting 2015_07_02_CWG_Appendix_5_Committee_Rules , item 11. PDF 52 KB (<a href="https://democracy.cheltenham.gov.uk/documents/s16083/2015_07_02_CWG_Appendix_5_Committee_Rules.pdf">https://democracy.cheltenham.gov.uk/documents/s16083/2015_07_02_CWG_Appendix_5_Committee_Rules.pdf</a>) are the following not enshrined: the time when information is made available; the methods by which it made public; the time period for consideration; the deadline for responses; a statutory obligation to respond to such comments/questions etc.. ? It may be that there is a document somewhere that covers all this. My question is why is this document not referred to in these rules?</p>
	<b>Response from Cabinet Member</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Question from Peter Sayers to the Leader of the Council, Councillor Steve Jordan</b>
	<p>Are there other documents that refer to members or citizen communication and response requests that also do not refer to a central document? If so, what is being done to ensure consistency?</p>
	<b>Response from Cabinet Member</b>

This page is intentionally left blank

#### Member Questions (5)

<b>1.</b>	<b>Question from Councillor Tim Harman to Cabinet Member Finance, Councillor John Rawson or Cabinet Member Development and Safety, Councillor Andrew McKinlay</b>
	During the debate on the Council's budget earlier this year the cabinet member agreed to look at the issues raised by my group in relation to the employment of additional apprentices and the need to enhance enforcement in the fields of planning and Public Safety. Can he please update the Council on progress.
	<b>Response from Cabinet Member</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Question from Councillor Louis Savage to Cabinet Member Finance, Councillor John Rawson</b>
	Flying the Union flag allows the Council to show its support for patriotism, civic pride and community cohesion. It also provides the opportunity to officially recognise worthy causes, recently demonstrated by flying the Armed Forces Day flag. Can members be assured that this important aspect of our town's civic tradition will continue in our new premises?
	<b>Response from Cabinet Member</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>Question from Councillor Louis Savage to Cabinet Member Corporate Services, Councillor Jon Walklett</b>
	Does the now-abandoned Social Media Policy represent a good use of officers' time and taxpayers' money?
	<b>Response from the Leader</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Question from Councillor Adam Lillywhite to Cabinet Member Development &amp; Safety, Councillor Andrew McKinlay</b>
	<p>Cheltenham Transport Plan</p> <p>Given the absence of a model or trial of the traffic restrictions intended to be imposed on Oriel Rd in its current form, and the failure of the Bath Rd scheme which had to be terminated early due to public outrage, even though,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The reduction in flow capacity was considerably less.</li> <li>• It had lower traffic volumes</li> <li>• Not responsible for the flow of the A46 Northbound</li> </ul> <p>under what conditions would it be considered the traffic restrictions in Oriel Rd to have failed and be backed out rather than just tweaked.</p>
	<b>Response from Cabinet Member</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>Question from Councillor Adam Lillywhite to Cabinet Member Development &amp; Safety, Councillor Andrew McKinlay</b>
	<p>Brewery Scheme</p> <p>Can you please outline how the Brewery development has been allowed to narrow the lower High Street? Has a transfer of property rights</p>

	occurred? If so, by whom, and who has sanctioned this encroachment?
	<b>Response from Cabinet Member</b>